Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# 2-Cyanoquinolin-1-ium nitrate

# Wan-Sin Loh,‡ Madhukar Hemamalini and Hoong-Kun Fun\*§

X-ray Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia Correspondence e-mail: hkfun@usm.my

Received 30 September 2010; accepted 30 September 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 100 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.045; wR factor = 0.122; data-to-parameter ratio = 16.2.

A proton is transferred from the nitric acid to the N atom of 2cyanoquinoline during crystallization, resulting in the formation of the title salt,  $C_{10}H_7N_2^{+}\cdot NO_3^{-}$ . The quinolinium ring system is approximately planar, with a maximum deviation of 0.013 (3) Å. In the crystal, a very asymmetric bifurcated N—  $H \cdot \cdot \cdot (O,O)$  hydrogen bond to two O atoms of an adjacent nitrate anion occurs, generating an  $R_2^1(4)$  ring motif. C— $H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$ hydrogen bonds link the ions into sheets stacking along the *a* axis.

### **Related literature**

For background to and the biological activities of quinoline derivatives, see: Loh, Quah *et al.* (2010*a,b*); Loh *et al.* (2010); Sasaki *et al.* (1998); Reux *et al.* (2009); Morimoto *et al.* (1991); Michael (1997); Markees *et al.* (1970); Campbell *et al.* (1988). For the hydrogen-bond motif, see: Bernstein *et al.* (1995). For related structures, see: Loh, Quah *et al.* (2010*a,b*); Loh *et al.* (2010). For the stability of the temperature controller used for the data collection, see: Cosier & Glazer (1986). For bondlength data, see: Allen *et al.* (1987).



# Crystal data

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART APEXII CCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2009)  $T_{\rm min} = 0.951, T_{\rm max} = 0.992$ 

#### Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.045$   $wR(F^2) = 0.122$  S = 1.052758 reflections 170 parameters

Table 1Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
N1-H1N1···O1	0.95	2.56	3.2376 (15)	128
$N1 - H1N1 \cdots O3$	0.95	1.60	2.5432 (14)	172
$C5-H5A\cdots O3^{i}$	0.943 (16)	2.497 (16)	3.2835 (16)	141.0 (15)
$C7-H7A\cdots O2^{ii}$	0.976 (16)	2.473 (16)	3.3641 (16)	151.8 (12)
$C8-H8A\cdots O2^{iii}$	0.977 (18)	2.391 (19)	3.3355 (17)	162.4 (15)
Symmetry codes: $x - 1, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ .	(i) $-x + 1$ ,	$y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2};$	(ii) $-x, y + \frac{1}{2},$	$-z + \frac{3}{2};$ (iii)

16184 measured reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.041$ 

refinement  $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.37 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.29 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 

2758 independent reflections

2103 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

H atoms treated by a mixture of

independent and constrained

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2009); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2009); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).

The authors thank Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM) for the Research University Grant (grant No. 1001/PFIZIK/811160). WSL thanks USM for the award of a USM fellowship. MH thanks USM for the award of a postdoctoral fellowship.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB5669).

#### References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1–19.
- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.
- Bruker (2009). APEX2, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Campbell, S. F., Hardstone, J. D. & Palmer, M. J. (1988). J. Med. Chem. 31, 1031–1035.
- Cosier, J. & Glazer, A. M. (1986). J. Appl. Cryst. 19, 105-107.
- Loh, W.-S., Hemamalini, M. & Fun, H.-K. (2010). Acta Cryst. E66, o2709.

Loh, W.-S., Quah, C. K., Hemamalini, M. & Fun, H.-K. (2010a). Acta Cryst. E66, 02357.

<sup>‡</sup> Thomson Reuters ResearcherID: C-7581-2009.

<sup>§</sup> Thomson Reuters ResearcherID: A-3561-2009.

- Loh, W.-S., Quah, C. K., Hemamalini, M. & Fun, H.-K. (2010b). Acta Cryst. E66, 02396.
- Markees, D. G., Dewey, V. C. & Kidder, G. W. (1970). J. Med. Chem. 13, 324–326.
- Michael, J. P. (1997). Nat. Prod. Rep. 14, 605-608.
- Morimoto, Y., Matsuda, F. & Shirahama, H. (1991). Synlett, 3, 202-203.
- Reux, B., Nevalainen, T., Raitio, K. H. & Koskinen, A. M. P. (2009). Bioorg. Med. Chem. 17, 4441–4447.
- Sasaki, K., Tsurumori, A. & Hirota, T. (1998). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, pp. 3851–3856.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, o2726-o2727 [doi:10.1107/S1600536810039243]

# 2-Cyanoquinolin-1-ium nitrate

# W.-S. Loh, M. Hemamalini and H.-K. Fun

### Comment

Recently, hydrogen-bonding patterns involving quinoline and its derivatives with organic acid have been investigated (Loh *at al.*, 2010*a,b*; Loh *et al.*, 2010). Syntheses of the quinoline derivatives were discussed earlier (Sasaki *et al.*, 1998; Reux *et al.*, 2009). Quinolines and their derivatives are very important compounds because of their wide occurrence in natural products (Morimoto *et al.*, 1991; Michael, 1997) and biologically active compounds (Markees *et al.*, 1970; Campbell *et al.*, 1988). Heterocyclic molecules containing cyano group are useful as drug intermediates. Herein we report the synthesis of 2-cyanoquinolin-1-ium nitrate.

The asymmetric unit of the title compound (Fig. 1) consists of one 2-cyanoquinolin-1-ium cation (C1–C10/N1/N2) and one nitrate anion (N3/O1–O3). One proton is transferred from the hydroxyl group of nitrate to the atom N1 of 2-cyanoquinoline during the crystallization, resulting in the formation of salt. The quinoline ring system (C1–C9/N1) is approximately planar with a maximum deviation of 0.013 (3) Å at atom C6. The  $R_2^{-1}(4)$  ring motif (Fig. 1; Bernstein *et al.*, 1995) indicates a bifurcated hydrogen bond from N1–H1N1 to the two acceptors (O1/O3). Bond lengths (Allen *et al.*, 1987) and angles are within the normal ranges and are comparable to the related structures (Loh *et al.*, 2010*a,b*; Loh *et al.*, 2010).

In the crystal packing (Fig. 2), intermolecular N1—H1N1···O1, N1—H1N1···O3, C5—H5A···O3, C7—H7A···O2 and C8—H8A···O2 hydrogen bonds (Table 1) link the molecules into two-dimensional planes stacking along the *a* axis.

# **Experimental**

A few drops of nitric acid were added to a hot methanol solution (20 ml) of quinoline-2-carbonitrile (39 mg, Aldrich) which had been warmed over a magnetic stirrer hotplate for a few minutes. The resulting solution was allowed to cool slowly to room temperature. Colourless plates of (I) appeared after a few days.

#### Refinement

All H atoms were located from a difference Fourier map. H1N1 was fixed at its found position with bond length of N—H being 0.9481 Å. The remaining H atoms were refined freely with the bond lengths of C—H being 0.943 (17) to 0.998 (17) Å.

#### **Figures**



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of the title compound showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms. The  $R_2^{1}(4)$  ring motif which indicates the bifurcated hydrogen bond is shown.



Fig. 2. The crystal structure of the title compound, viewed along the *a* axis.

F(000) = 448

 $\theta = 2.7-31.0^{\circ}$   $\mu = 0.12 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 100 KPlate, colourless  $0.44 \times 0.18 \times 0.07 \text{ mm}$ 

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.517 \ {\rm Mg \ m}^{-3}$ 

Mo K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å Cell parameters from 3861 reflections

# 2-Cyanoquinolin-1-ium nitrate

# Crystal data

$C_{10}H_7N_2^+ \cdot NO_3^-$
$M_r = 217.19$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc
<i>a</i> = 3.6969 (1) Å
<i>b</i> = 17.7031 (3) Å
c = 14.6029 (2) Å
$\beta = 95.802 (1)^{\circ}$
$V = 950.81 (3) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 4

# Data collection

Bruker SMART APEXII CCD diffractometer	2758 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	2103 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.041$
$\phi$ and $\omega$ scans	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 30.0^{\circ},  \theta_{\text{min}} = 1.8^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2009)	$h = -5 \rightarrow 5$
$T_{\min} = 0.951, \ T_{\max} = 0.992$	$k = -24 \rightarrow 24$
16184 measured reflections	$l = -20 \rightarrow 20$

# Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Least-squares matrix: full	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.045$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$wR(F^2) = 0.122$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
<i>S</i> = 1.05	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0614P)^2 + 0.2227P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
2758 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
170 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.37 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

0 restraints

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.29 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ 

# Special details

**Experimental**. The crystal was placed in the cold stream of an Oxford Cryosystems Cobra open-flow nitrogen cryostat (Cosier & Glazer, 1986) operating at 100.0 (1) K.

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$
O1	0.1851 (3)	0.21342 (6)	0.92466 (7)	0.0314 (3)
O2	0.4106 (3)	0.10064 (5)	0.91484 (7)	0.0255 (3)
O3	0.4296 (3)	0.17922 (5)	0.80150 (6)	0.0258 (3)
N1	0.2524 (3)	0.30942 (6)	0.73844 (7)	0.0144 (2)
H1N1	0.3241	0.2630	0.7672	0.079 (8)*
N2	-0.1335 (4)	0.17939 (7)	0.58610 (8)	0.0265 (3)
N3	0.3373 (3)	0.16413 (6)	0.88321 (7)	0.0196 (3)
C1	0.3582 (3)	0.37566 (7)	0.78076 (8)	0.0142 (3)
C2	0.5593 (4)	0.37429 (7)	0.86850 (8)	0.0161 (3)
C3	0.6627 (4)	0.44133 (7)	0.90990 (9)	0.0176 (3)
C4	0.5697 (4)	0.51140 (7)	0.86660 (9)	0.0181 (3)
C5	0.3739 (4)	0.51378 (7)	0.78226 (9)	0.0175 (3)
C6	0.2637 (3)	0.44559 (7)	0.73637 (8)	0.0148 (3)
C7	0.0675 (4)	0.44419 (7)	0.64838 (9)	0.0176 (3)
C8	-0.0316 (4)	0.37627 (7)	0.60703 (9)	0.0175 (3)
C9	0.0658 (4)	0.30972 (7)	0.65509 (8)	0.0158 (3)
C10	-0.0406 (4)	0.23670 (7)	0.61661 (9)	0.0184 (3)
H2A	0.619 (4)	0.3267 (9)	0.8975 (11)	0.020 (4)*
H3A	0.805 (5)	0.4406 (9)	0.9717 (12)	0.023 (4)*
H4A	0.649 (5)	0.5595 (10)	0.8975 (11)	0.028 (4)*
H5A	0.304 (5)	0.5598 (9)	0.7531 (11)	0.024 (4)*
H7A	0.002 (4)	0.4918 (9)	0.6174 (11)	0.020 (4)*
H8A	-0.166 (5)	0.3735 (10)	0.5461 (13)	0.030 (4)*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

# Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	$U^{11}$	U <sup>22</sup>	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	U <sup>23</sup>
O1	0.0486 (7)	0.0188 (5)	0.0295 (6)	0.0018 (5)	0.0167 (5)	-0.0018 (4)
02	0.0375 (6)	0.0142 (5)	0.0234 (5)	-0.0007 (4)	-0.0040 (4)	0.0047 (4)

# supplementary materials

O3	0.0438 (7)	0.0173 (5)	0.0170 (5)	0.0075 (4)	0.0072 (4)	0.0026 (4)
N1	0.0169 (6)	0.0120 (5)	0.0142 (5)	0.0006 (4)	0.0010 (4)	0.0000 (4)
N2	0.0331 (7)	0.0217 (6)	0.0237 (6)	-0.0029 (5)	-0.0020 (5)	-0.0014 (5)
N3	0.0255 (6)	0.0153 (5)	0.0172 (5)	-0.0027 (4)	-0.0015 (4)	-0.0003 (4)
C1	0.0146 (6)	0.0126 (6)	0.0159 (6)	0.0002 (4)	0.0035 (5)	-0.0005 (4)
C2	0.0180 (6)	0.0145 (6)	0.0156 (6)	0.0004 (5)	0.0013 (5)	0.0005 (4)
C3	0.0164 (6)	0.0187 (6)	0.0177 (6)	-0.0017 (5)	0.0020 (5)	-0.0024 (5)
C4	0.0180 (7)	0.0151 (6)	0.0216 (6)	-0.0035 (5)	0.0044 (5)	-0.0037 (5)
C5	0.0200 (7)	0.0128 (6)	0.0204 (6)	0.0002 (5)	0.0053 (5)	0.0002 (5)
C6	0.0146 (6)	0.0140 (6)	0.0160 (6)	0.0009 (4)	0.0027 (5)	0.0008 (4)
C7	0.0185 (7)	0.0166 (6)	0.0177 (6)	0.0030 (5)	0.0023 (5)	0.0023 (5)
C8	0.0179 (6)	0.0192 (6)	0.0153 (6)	0.0016 (5)	0.0008 (5)	0.0009 (5)
C9	0.0157 (6)	0.0159 (6)	0.0158 (6)	-0.0003 (5)	0.0017 (5)	-0.0016 (4)
C10	0.0190 (7)	0.0192 (6)	0.0166 (6)	0.0004 (5)	0.0003 (5)	0.0005 (5)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

O1—N3	1.2301 (15)	С3—НЗА	0.998 (17)
O2—N3	1.2347 (14)	C4—C5	1.3646 (19)
O3—N3	1.3015 (14)	C4—H4A	0.993 (18)
N1—C9	1.3370 (16)	C5—C6	1.4203 (17)
N1—C1	1.3642 (15)	C5—H5A	0.943 (17)
N1—H1N1	0.9481	C6—C7	1.4104 (18)
N2—C10	1.1466 (18)	С7—С8	1.3784 (18)
C1—C2	1.4152 (17)	С7—Н7А	0.976 (17)
C1—C6	1.4243 (16)	C8—C9	1.3998 (18)
C2—C3	1.3686 (18)	C8—H8A	0.977 (18)
C2—H2A	0.959 (16)	C9—C10	1.4480 (18)
C3—C4	1.4188 (18)		
C9—N1—C1	120.45 (10)	С3—С4—Н4А	119.9 (10)
C9—N1—H1N1	120.2	C4—C5—C6	120.01 (12)
C1—N1—H1N1	119.3	C4—C5—H5A	122.1 (10)
O1—N3—O2	123.76 (12)	С6—С5—Н5А	117.9 (10)
O1—N3—O3	118.74 (11)	C7—C6—C5	122.77 (11)
O2—N3—O3	117.50 (11)	C7—C6—C1	118.63 (11)
N1—C1—C2	119.72 (11)	C5—C6—C1	118.60 (12)
N1—C1—C6	119.68 (11)	C8—C7—C6	120.25 (12)
C2—C1—C6	120.60 (11)	С8—С7—Н7А	120.5 (10)
C3—C2—C1	118.87 (12)	С6—С7—Н7А	119.2 (10)
С3—С2—Н2А	121.7 (10)	С7—С8—С9	118.10 (12)
C1—C2—H2A	119.4 (10)	С7—С8—Н8А	122.1 (10)
C2—C3—C4	121.13 (12)	С9—С8—Н8А	119.8 (10)
С2—С3—НЗА	119.1 (9)	N1—C9—C8	122.87 (11)
С4—С3—Н3А	119.8 (9)	N1—C9—C10	116.40 (11)
C5—C4—C3	120.79 (12)	C8—C9—C10	120.72 (12)
C5—C4—H4A	119.3 (10)	N2—C10—C9	178.33 (15)
C9—N1—C1—C2	-179.23 (11)	C2—C1—C6—C7	179.11 (11)
C9—N1—C1—C6	1.04 (18)	N1—C1—C6—C5	179.37 (11)
N1—C1—C2—C3	-179.94 (12)	C2-C1-C6-C5	-0.36 (18)

# supplementary materials

C6—C1—C2—C3	-0.21 (19)	С5—С6—С7—С8	179.86 (12)
C1—C2—C3—C4	0.39 (19)	C1—C6—C7—C8	0.40 (19)
C2—C3—C4—C5	0.0 (2)	C6—C7—C8—C9	0.44 (19)
C3—C4—C5—C6	-0.6 (2)	C1—N1—C9—C8	-0.16 (19)
C4—C5—C6—C7	-178.69 (12)	C1-N1-C9-C10	-178.81 (11)
C4—C5—C6—C1	0.76 (19)	C7—C8—C9—N1	-0.6 (2)
N1-C1-C6-C7	-1.15 (18)	C7—C8—C9—C10	177.99 (12)

# Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· $A$
N1—H1N1…O1	0.95	2.56	3.2376 (15)	128
N1—H1N1…O3	0.95	1.60	2.5432 (14)	172
C5—H5A···O3 <sup>i</sup>	0.943 (16)	2.497 (16)	3.2835 (16)	141.0 (15)
C7—H7A···O2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.976 (16)	2.473 (16)	3.3641 (16)	151.8 (12)
C8—H8A···O2 <sup>iii</sup>	0.977 (18)	2.391 (19)	3.3355 (17)	162.4 (15)
Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1$ , $y+1/2$ , $-z+3/2$ ; (ii) $-x$ , $y+1/2$ , $-z+3/2$ ; (iii) $x-1$ , $-y+1/2$ , $z-1/2$ .				







Fig. 2